IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA SPARTANBURG DIVISION

Justin Makario Jackson,) Case No. 7:25-cv-03877-JDA
Plaintiff,)
v.	OPINION AND ORDER
Marc Cappa, Greer Police,)
Defendant.)

This matter is before the Court on a Report and Recommendation ("Report") of the Magistrate Judge. [Doc. 13.] In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2), D.S.C., this matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge William S. Brown for pre-trial proceedings.

Plaintiff's pro se Complaint was entered on the docket on May 8, 2025. [Doc. 1.] On May 9, 2025, the Magistrate Judge issued an Order explaining several specific deficiencies with Plaintiff's claims and granting him leave to amend his Complaint. [Doc. 9.] Plaintiff was informed that failure to file an amended complaint or cure the deficiencies identified in the Order would subject some or all of his claims to summary dismissal without further leave to amend. [Id. at 8.] Plaintiff did not file an amended complaint within the time prescribed, and on June 10, 2025, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending that the action be summarily dismissed without leave to amend. [Doc. 13.] The Magistrate Judge advised Plaintiff of the procedures and requirements for filing objections to the Report and the serious consequences if he failed to do so. [Id. at 10.] Plaintiff has filed no objections and the time to do so has lapsed.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The

recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final

determination remains with the Court. See Mathews v. Weber, 423 U.S. 261, 270-71

(1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of any portion of the

Report of the Magistrate Judge to which a specific objection is made. The Court may

accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by the Magistrate

Judge or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. See 28 U.S.C. §

636(b). The Court will review the Report only for clear error in the absence of an

objection. See Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir.

2005) (stating that "in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not

conduct a de novo review, but instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error

on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation" (internal quotation marks

omitted)).

The Court has reviewed the record in this case, the applicable law, and the Report

of the Magistrate Judge for clear error. Having done so, the Court accepts the Report

and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge and incorporates it by

reference. Accordingly, this action is summarily DISMISSED without prejudice and

without further leave to amend.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Jacquelyn D. Austin
United States District Judge

July 8, 2025 Greenville, South Carolina

2

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

The parties are hereby notified of the right to appeal this order pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.